

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/695,137	10/28/2003	Larry E. Hawker	555255012611	6439
89441 7590 01/21/2011 Jones Day (RIM) - 2N North Point			EXAMINER	
			PAUL, DISLER	
901 Lakeside Avenue Cleveland, OH 44114			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Cievenna, Or.			2614	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/21/2011	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

dlpejeau@jonesday.com portfolioprosecution@rim.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

HAWKER ET AL.		

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 17 December 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

1. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, application application application application and the revidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

a) ☑ The period for reply expires 3\_months from the mailing date of the final rejection.

b) ☐ The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection.

Examiner Note: I box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). CNLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MFEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension ted have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set torth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the malling date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.79(b).

NOTICE OF APPEAL

2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on ... A brief in compiliance with 37 CFR 4.1.37 must be filed within two months of the date of

a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since

AME	NDMENTS
3.	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
4. 5.	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
6.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
7.	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)   will not be entered, or b)   will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
	Claim(s) elowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:
AFFI	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE
8. 🗆	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. Set 7 CFR 1.116(e).
9. 🗆	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet.

Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

/Devona E. Faulk/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2614

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Continuation of 13. Other: the applicant argument has been further considered and is non-persuasisve for the same reason as set forth in the last office action.

Furthermore: In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See In re Keller, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); In re Merck & Co., 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir., 1986).

in that case, while, Yoo did discisoe of the transition element wherin " switching from the handset mode of operation to the handsfree mode of operation while processing the incoming call (par [0006; 0009]).

thus, in regard to the independent claim 1, the combined teaching of Schimdt and Kanevsky et al. and Yoo as a whole, furthe disclose of the concept of operatin the nobible device in the hands-free mode of operation according to the set volume profile so as to protect the hearing of the mobile device user in case the mobile device is still held in close proximity to the user's ear when the mobile device transitions from the handset mode of operation to the handsfree mode of operation (Knewsky; fig. 1); fig. 2 (202,204); par [0006; 0018]/the device to be used with a safe volume profile according to specific desired modes of operations which include the handfree and handset modes selection as taught by YOO].

the same argument is aplied to independent claim 41, since Schmidt already disclose of such: "swiitching the mobile manually from the handset moe to the hands-free mode".

## However.

But. Kanevsky et al. disclose of a method of method comprising: initialy limitming the volume to a preset initial level when the mobile device as being switched from the different modes of operations (fig. 2(02.02.04.210); par (018-0019; 0022; 0025) lbased on the different modes as selected as being used according, to the specific users habits, the device initial limit the volume to a certain initial level threshold) so as to prevent harmful sound level from damaging a user's hearing according to the specific ord operation.